

Subaru International Partnership Workshop

Instrumentation Parallel Session Summary

Science to instruments

- Different communities have very defined science goals?
 - Hopefully not!

Setting priorities

- How would an international partnership decide on large systems such ULTIMATE?
- Science & Technology Advisory Committee
 - Makes recommendations
 - Must be given all of the relevant information upon request well in advance
- Instrument selection:
 - Subaru so far has been PI-driven (“bottom up”) – no call for proposals
 - Continue to be PI-”motivated”

Some concepts

- ULTIMATE as an “instrument platform”
 - GLAO + “smart focal plane mechanism” are infrastructure
 - Different instruments can then plugged into this upgraded telescope system
 - Phased implementation possible and even desired
- Post TMT:
 - Focus on large facility instruments
 - Still do rapid prototyping @ Subaru

Technologies

- Astro-photonics
- Fiber technology:
 - Micro-fabrication of arrays
 - ...
- Detectors: MKIDs, eAPDs (this is a big item for any given observatory)
- Wavefront sensors:
 - Pyramid
 - Image plane sensors

“Procurement”/team model

- In-kind contributions (labour and non-labour) should be accepted
 - Easier to secure funding at national level
- Should have an annual budget for instrument development
 - Pay cost of small instruments in full
 - Early dev phases of more ambitious instruments
- Continue SSP time as a reward
- Foster instrument teams that are passionate about the instrument they are building – not a vendor/contractor relationship

Specific Collaborations

- Faster telescope beam switching
- Nasmyth beam switching
- Vibration control
- ULTIMATE:
 - Lasers
 - GLAO concept (this one already under way)
 - Focal plane fiber pick-off/positioner
 - Spectrographs
 - Modifying MOIRCS to be 1st light instrument
 - Others
 - “Modular” wide-field imager
- SCExAO:
 - Vibration control
 - Coronagraphs
 - Software and science

Communications

- We will be “Slackers”
- Email me at Luc.Simard@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca